Uncle: W.G.

New York, 10 January 2001

Chem: lamb to coincide in

After a certain number of visits. See how your progress. Note 17, 1784, 1710.

There was a "sp

In 1939, I was 13. WP 131 was overtopied 17, grid 1-16.

and thus we must be under last for one we studied from 7-12 when my continents.

As my then, we couldn't read a dream.

At last on that in my opinion, the time to take down what we are going to do with the text.

At end of 1939 the new points were

proposed at print 7-12 no evidence of ex parte. Then move onto 17 bast

biscuit, if there is a compromise we

will not have to

Spain: We have never been in favor of 2

"Yes" positive - surely important we

our feelings. We favor 13-13 by best

in private favor. Roosevelt at
by opted ex post - ex post, can adapt country.

Swift

As to 134 - continue with draft
we are in favor of "yes."
2 query - opt out, opt in -
Studies - don't want opt out R
Studies - must opt out for parties.

Friend - 

134

For sixty months, opt in or opt out
- Opt out, opt in not finished.
- Variant A - slight problems opt variant B.
- For summary on prevalent R system

U.S.

we have from R being hired role
can't prevent a complete policy fully
an option and would include some
- we have industry, leased - current draft
at 134 in a consumer company.
- Ado we can report R capstone
- No opt-in, opt rule R states.
- On summary to have an outline
Can you not find evidence that could be used to support the argument?

J. Taylor

we agree with our own chart that proposed

we support the use of entrant

J. Stevens, no opinion - opt-in for

R. Comer, opt-in and opt-out.

U. Comer, Opt-in -

U. Comer granting an order recompense since not very

it hurt conducted a study
counterpart asserting using or for a

matter of principle it is necessary to

in an order.

It is to the company - IT now

property no enactment of a preliminary order

Unity opt-in or opt-out

Company opt-in or opt-out.

opt-in or opt-out
Germ— re vote menu and leg
law vote opt-out
Our view vs the is a matter
of promise to her it - for us
from policy arbitrary hay journey
opt-in vs promise

German standing what we got very
"let her in" - necessary to not close
the election in run here or costs

Wiggin

As from 7—134
As we need done
We can— can see a computer
no opt-in opt-out for offer
Famous seems to love

Getting current from 7-17 a good
reflection I drawn is 17 as a matter
principle — referendum we support
on vote

Opt-in — opt-out — Opt-in
by parties Arbitrary difficulty 651 field
it opt-in the they now otherwise opt-out
Austria - We could go with Wagner-supported by U.S. as good solution.

Russia - Report to me on Yelcyn

Prefer to look at p. 7 in 134- we could be drafted in Rear 2

Or add in p. 17.

France - Up to you if we have trouble in fake minors but today we have 751 running to baulk - suggest 134 except we propose if we want to gain exception - good to say in fact the

premier on in cant be taken unless

for 9 procedure & do

Only make it clear in Bit finalizing order are procedure, at

whence - Make a circum-

minimum before prem. order &

interim measure: preliminary order should

not be an "award" - try to be
In "or in".

4. Favor opt-in by party.

Sweden: favor opt-in by party.

Canada: he has committed them even of the One party in minority.

voblems: author or act they can. However, we could be good through an opt-in.

Mexico: opt-in.

U.S.: support opt-in by party or compromise him. It's new.

The opt-in could be in effect by rule until be an opt-in.
USA, castulo, chair, post-opinion. There is no support for "comprise" of.

Course: form "opt-in" in a compromise.

Superficially:

1. By text - State practice is founded on common law. My point 17 is quibble in reality or vacillation in principle: on conditional duty to have or not have a vote -

Regime: during he not existed U.S.书 in. 100% -

No doubt what need be inside

17. pm. 8. Support a concrete scenario - look at 134 text, p. 3 -a prominent acto (h) force
- In other (h)

Devote what is in 1st 2 layers
Delete both brought from pm 179.
Instead, here is a rule for a state could include:

- a state could include that
- in a state could be part of 4.4
- if it could say that applies in
- opt-out
- in a state could apply

Furthermore a state in ordinary can fail to extend

(1) if you opt it
(2) if but apply if we opt-in
(3) if in part 7 or all
(4) if state could add reded

R. nuke

Scheider. Brown. Part 7. But see the story
will be hard - county can remove
will to the head with ordinary
in the name manner. Equally if
grants of courts and not necessarily hang
court power.

Opt-in - ex parte manner in which a man
Animals. Opt-in simply and not could
since very many. before this
associate outbreak early from

Floyd: Problem on option not clear by
step backwrd. Agree with U.
Rogie expects Puerto — not happy with
the solution, but it is better solution
we can do here.

Tony: Agree with U. on opt-in not a comprom.
it is a real
Lebanon has a good idea —
agreed to opt-in by itself.

AAA: State firmly that there is no
real concern rechos — but in a real
context the state + partners will go
on their own in ways that
are
we have one-in in AAA case. Order
has not been used. — pretty clear flow of
it in a regular, not needs some similar
kindly. Opt-in choice do not seem
like a compromise — it is a decision
how to a general need.
Art. 13 4 vote, opt-out or
Spain: opt-in can be given by
Not by law.

Print: opt-in – But it REMOVED
Print: this proposal could be reviewed
in detail.

Generally, opt-out is accepted. If here
no opt-in in our law.
Remain opt-out

Main opt-in or opt-out & Skater

Singapore: Study on pror
Opt-out:
or catchy cap or depth or FIOtow
or opt-in.

Check on it

- Check
- Stuff

Check: He can't

Check it in case of my
depth blow – It a Per
you to think & put —
And once I have seen one purpose I
find a very difficult to allow people to
come up with appropriate clues.
Opt-in doesn't seem to have any
compatibility - there is strong opposition to
opt-in.

Clear

Opt-in has considerable support
from people & is the trend.

To approach as a model - must opt-in or
opt-out will be considered - we must
avoid our costs and find
In propel.

Don't Denitize it outside or opt-in opt-out

For starter

- Clearly on #Rez Pit proven army
  procedure
Chemical Institute

There is process on both sides.

Opt in / Opt out is just a compromise.

[Signature]

Unc: Speeds to Russian proposal for State could be in or out. If State study could do so under better obtain or opt in any event the State would give authority to State court to

US support for opt in is not a compromise.

Chem is no point do it urgent is available to me I was effort attempt to

As do Russian proposal our lawyer should check with what might violate: end he is pretty thin it mostly.

Physics - My attempt is to our own B deadlock.
Discuss the two broad rules in
(a) Delete under authority of R paper
(b) Inexpedited by R paper

Insert

[Writing partially legible, unclear and disorganized]

[Text partially legible, unclear and disorganized]
Footnote — mu

Variant I

1) The writing institute are free to set up in those that rely on mail on
purchases are also on postage or free to agree to other policies.

Variant II

Par. 17 intended by definition P

Pounds approach & premiss, order

5 It need not be custom b P

Removal is a stepced
cf. rest to include from many

Cf. to provide that the P to

Applicable only when P party is

If required, Cf. to provide P1-7

Pun. if not applicable when P

Party had spent elsewhere, or

cf. to adjust for changes accordingly

then when we corrected this pun.
Creation - opt-out or on pre-op
no. 1 it opt-out actually
complaint - opt-out

Include pm 7 in yet but feel it
Ex. Rkt or - in stb sex card
leave out -

For other tees protocol
Start with pm 7, + opt-out all
Start a new Rkt status can leave out.

Picky made the product R 70-12 daily
or a refrigeration room or a quader

LC147 -

1CC -

Favor Russia - like Red

Schnider - Report practice
It unlikely Status not to adopt it
Does not have
Chair: I will make a proposal and ask if you agree.

Accept present by agreement with opt-out provision in present document. Ergo, that we clearly state that at present, order the first procedure or decision. In this case, we would have our decision to court and avoid that.

In order to make a decision, we need to agree and adopt this as a protocol.

UK: Accept present but provide some opt-in. Support the CEA in 12.

Chair: As a point of clarification, I didn’t say anyone was in agreement with providing such a provision or protocol.

Convers: We have a problem with this mandate. If there is a re-draw or concealment, then the protocol solution that delegates with respect will have some reflection in.
Unuk - opt-out vary to be Co
part - entrench in system nearly exempt

Nith"ahio - 7(e) the variant
and agree to the variant A
6(h) by a different clause

Austen - Don't agree to opt-out
Don't think by any proposal

Charlotte Addis
Opt. - in -

Spain - agree with char = opt-out
non-essential I prefer or don't
There are some degrees of realization
of opt-out - it's with a US
proposal - text is hard

Unite when 1st chair suspended
and a 2nd for opt-in by states

And I agree with opt-out and 2nd
Chair proposal.
First, we support your compromise proposal:

Report will indicate

Please wait until appropriate issue, not just one or two, are presented — not 11, but 11 more. We must be careful to ensure

I think we have enough evidence for objection. I believe: less oil, more cancer; lie, more for our definition. I think

Put in text on interim measure for an important person.

Look at minority we need to consider

A unusual cancer for have

Invisible interests. I urge you to

This will still be a difficult round of negotiations.

They can accept a full rejoiner.

Finally, support option — we agree on

You ready willing to agree text itself.
Tell EU to opt-out on values that they feel conflict with their country's position. Opt-in can do.

Ukr: Canada: Support France.

Greece: Supports France.

Ukr: Agrees with Canada: Opt-in on R
Situation to see more growth.
Not coding at this time initially to do
- adjusted to be re (17(1)).

Clar: No C at 17 by more decisive.

5 total opt-in on values recent h
debate. They be assessed in that 17- not reopened during.

New Zealand: Supports 134 on ensuring
object is opt-in in text or fn.

Belgium: Supports France: opting opt-out

Austria: Supports France.
Holland - Support Cher - En will not help.

All - Peter French provides technical comfort for those who do not primarily identify as Euro

Germany - we accept your proposal any changes can be discussed later on by En.

Swiss - don't make my choices in your proposal - If the cost is too high we must drop it.

Ireland - Support France. Like other states of a EU.

Greece - support Austria and En.

Russian - we must get the rest of the sum - we support your proposal in my latest modification - no En.

we want to be a part of how to proceed not a number of dryads
in area in that report

Thai - support chp approve Em now opt in it to

Carex - Clean - & clean in Broad
no Em.

Carex - Assume proper is put
around yesterday agent Em.
    box &. The '\n Em' in Em. need to pick in Em.

Chart Chart Chart -

Support of Carex & Sider-
will work. Report no Em. opt more
no Em. delete prior of

Schneider Current work is in report - no
Em.
Chapter Support draft text of
Support countries proposed. Korea will
require China support despite
not like Eu, but he would accept

China. Clear for all his. From
propose Eu. to opt-in. Adopt done,
It proposed

Dec. 13th

Chapter From a debate started on
"when agree by partner - debate
Wéen by agree by partner.
Take note in brackets in (d)

Get

Chapter U.S. If no objection.

Fin and  Support W.
Bryan - report U1.
Chet - heck out U1.
US - (b) current address and

1 no objection.

Chet (a) adopt U1 proposal

(b) adopt U2

(c) "relate" from (c) "fairly" - put "relate" in parens.

French - to meet - delete "an boy" -

Chet - number "recall" dates in 184-

(d) Cotton excise - Chet "ve demand" -

to "agreement" R - pain of 10 report

LCM -

Ok. The paper was not -
Char. Obligations are not to open in 1991

Sec. Will it avoid obligations to see notice plan is open? Are these?

Secrecy

- Should we have a package of interim measure & written plan at sometime.
- It seems the decision of measures on interim measure - text to be considered
  wisely to guarantee that code reject we should not do it in 2005.

# Wednesday

Char

Study - liked Castro obscure. If by n an imperial idea.

Char - There was support.
Carri - At the earliest possible opportunity
and if all will practice within their
other notice, it becomes or such longer
period of time as in regulated by K
prey enact when the rhetoric order for the
has been made.

US - Long as I am longer read the
or be similar as he appropriate.

Our - repute a "deed" not every day,

Canada - reports Carro -
like yr br. "forget."

Name: Andre L. Hendrix

Who proves it:

Appended or interested.

Expanded Example Note: "Introd. Guide to Enriched 2 001"

4/17 Bly
To clarify: I am trying to find why 17.6 is not a valid ISO, and is incorrect. The 17.6% is not applicable to further or be defined at p. 7.

17% is a good estimate, but it may not be correct. The new limit between 17% and 17.6%.

If we add pressure, there is a chance we could add just a bit more or the pressure won't be enough. However, clear - no need to add more.

Secular data need to be considered.

ISO

Clear, clear, we are assuming water exclusion at the marine tag.